than from a newspaper like the less york visuate he con November 5, 1969 for the wender missial pout done that he to bateons with Programme missial pout done . Through our introductions

International At-

Mr. Francisco Matarazzo Sobrinho President Fundação Bienal de São Paulo 7832, Sao Paulo BRAZIL

Dear Mr. Matarazzo:

All those who worked so hard to make this year's Biennial a success are to be congratulated on a very handsome exhibition. It was a pleasure to see how well the designers planned and prepared the space. Although I wish the United States could have had a part in the Tenth Biennial, we must put our disappointments and regrets aside and now look forward to our participation in the Eleventh Bienal de Sao Paulo in 1971.

Committee might prefer to invite a critic from the of our

are described at the same in AMERICA or ARES or ART REWS rather than from the same of our

Miss Cogswell and I felt privileged to be part of the Biennial Foundation symposium and to join with colleagues from all over the world in considering the wide range of problems we all face in our efforts to provide pertinent national contributions to the ever increasing number of international art exhibitions. We are eager to see the consolidated recommendations and report which the foundation is preparing. Also, we shall await with interest an early indication of any revised rules, regulations or format which the biennial committee may introduce with the organization of its next international exhibition.

Undoubtedly you saw Frederick Tuten's shallow and disgraceful review of the Tenth Biennial in the New York Times. Unfortunately, he lacked perspective and sensitivity and failed to appreciate the substantial accomplishments and contributions which I found in this year's biennial exhibition and symposium. In fact, I was shocked he did not attend the last and most important session of the symposium. When the panel presented its summary of the comments and recommendations offered throughout the earlier sessions. Perhaps another year the biennial

LBingham: eas 11/4/69 cc: NCFA Reading File IAP M IAP CHRON Clearances: LAB MC WD

COmmittee might prefer to invite a critic from one of our art magazines like ART IN AMERICA or ARTS or ART NEWS rather than from a newspaper like the New York Times.

At the moment, I am worried about what is to be done for the Venice Biennial next June. Through correspondence with Professor Dell'Acqua, we know that the committee is considering new regulations and perhaps may even initiate a new format for its 1970 Biennial. We are only seven months away from the opening and still have received no instructions. It is already too late for us to prepare a major exhibition for next June. Other commissioners must be feeling equally spring with some kind of an exhibition, and I shall also hope to see you there.

With warm appreciations and good wishes,

regretrotelecided/new beckerather the bureau of a forest the

Sincerely,

Lois A. Bingham
Chief
International Art Program

describe from all over the entire to consider the will three of problems we all true to our offeres to provide pertinent rational contributions to the the even incretion to business of international set estimated as the the are associated to read the foundarion is propaging. Also, we shall make that interest as narry indigation of any source to later the later the later of the entire of the contribution of the ment indicated the contribution of the ment indicated that

indicate the contributions which a ferm also years

the distribution and sweller in the desire of the company of the c

Stoms one: 035/4/49/69

Clearancements AB Lab NC NC ND N